# SQL Server Troubleshooting

**Intro Into Wait Statistics** 

Dmitri Korotkevitch http://aboutsqlserver.com

# Good morning!

20+ years of experience in IT 15+ years of experience working with SQL Server Microsoft Data Platform MVP Microsoft Certified Master Author

- Pro SQL Server Internals (v1-2)
- Expert SQL Server In-Memory OLTP (v1-2)
- Expert SQL Server Transactions and Locking

Slides and Demos: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/presentations</u> Youtube Channel: <u>aboutsqlserver</u> Blog: <u>http://aboutsqlserver.com</u> Email: <u>dk@aboutsqlserver.com</u>

Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

2



# Agenda

SQLOS and Wait Statistics overview

Troubleshooting approach for the typical waits and bottlenecks

3 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

## **Simplest Optimization Strategy**







Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

4



# SQLOS

#### Layer between SQL Server and Windows

 In SQL2017+ includes PAL (Platform Abstract Layer) to support crossplatform architecture

#### **Responsible for**

- Scheduling
- I/O operations
- Memory and Resource Management

# **SQL Server Execution Model**

SQLOS creates 1 scheduler per logical CPU

Worker Threads are created and evenly divided across schedulers

Batch is assigned to 1 or multiple workers

Worker states:

6

- Running currently executing on CPU
- Suspended waiting for the resource
- Runnable waiting for CPU to execute



Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

7

### Execution Model – 1 Scheduler



### Execution Model – 1 Scheduler

9



### More than 1 CPU?



10 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)



### Wait Statistics 101

#### What are we waiting for?

```
select
```

12

```
wait_type, wait_time_ms, waiting_ta
,wait_time_ms - signal_wait_time_ms
,100. * wait_time_ms / SUM(wait_tin
from sys.dm_os_wait_stats with (nolock)
where
wait_type not in /* Filtering out r
```

wait\_type not in /\* Filtering out r
(N'BROKER\_EVENTHANDLER',N'BROKER\_RE
,N'BROKER\_TO\_FLUSH',N'BROKER\_TRANSN
,N'CLR\_SEMAPHORE',N'CLR\_AUTO\_EVENT'
,N'DBMIRROR\_DBM\_EVENT',N'DBMIRROR\_E
,N'DBMIRRORING\_CMD',N'DIRTY\_PAGE\_PO
,N'EXECSYNC',N'FSAGENT',N'FT\_IFTS\_S

```
Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)
```

Wait Type	Wait Type		t Count	Wait Time		Avg Wait Time	Signa	al Wait Time	
LCK_M_U		548	216435	2430865.64	45	4.0	1481	57.438	
CXPACKE	Т	696	649076	1991476.659		2.0	2244	45.736	
LCK_M_S		609	624311	327349.394	4	0.0	3269	09.609	
HADR_SY	NC_COMMIT	393	862506	277294.283	3	0.0	1286	33.010	
PAGEIOL/	ATCH_EX	155	976551	229145.10	1	1.0	3258	.831	
BACKUPI	C	845	29112	161681.11	1	1.0	2084	.816	
ASYNC_IC	D_COMPLETION	483	77	157225.55	1	3250.0	86.1	53	
LATCH_E	х	171	800900	141038.76	5	0.0	3486	9.237	
PAGEIOL/	ATCH_SH	974	00378	120563.694	4	1.0	1936	.229	
BACKUPB	UFFER	120	290500	109741.003	3	0.0	7385	.949	
PAGELAT	CH_EX	310	3005547	89580.939		0.0	8415	3.002	
BACKUPT	HREAD	527	984	69381.750		131.0	180.629		
LCK_M_IX	(	219	26	53148.574		2423.0	25.8	92	
Avg Signal Wait Ti									
	Avg Signal Wait Ti	me	Resource	Wait Time	Av	g Resource Wait 1	Time	Percent	Running Percent
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0	me	Resource 2282708.	Wait Time 207	Av 4.(	g Resource Wait ] ]	Time	Percent 37.644	Running Percent 37.644
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030.	Wait Time 207 923	Av 4.0 2.0	g Resource Wait 1 D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840	Running Percent 37.644 68.484
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785	Wait Time 207 923	Av 4.0 2.0	g Resource Wait 1 D D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069	Running Percent 37.644 68.484 73.553
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2	Wait Time 207 923 73	Av 4.0 2.0 0.0	g Resource Wait <sup>-</sup> D D D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294	Running Percent 37.644 68.484 73.553 77.847
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2	Wait Time 207 923 73 70	Av 4.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 1.0	g Resource Wait <sup>*</sup> D D D D D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548	Running Percent           37.644           68.484           73.553           77.847           81.395
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95	Av 4.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.0	g Resource Wait <sup>*</sup> D D D D D D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504	Running Percent           37.644           68.484           73.553           77.847           81.395           83.899
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 157139.3	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98	Av 4.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 32	g Resource Wait <sup>1</sup> D D D D D D V 48.0	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435	Running Percent 37.644 68.484 73.553 77.847 81.395 83.899 86.334
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 157139.3 106169.5	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98 28	Av 4.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 32 0.0	g Resource Wait <sup>*</sup> D D D D D D V 48.0 D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435 2.184	Running Percent 37.644 68.484 73.553 77.847 81.395 83.899 86.334 88.518
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 157139.3 106169.5 118627.4	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98 28 65	Avv 4.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 32 0.0 1.0	g Resource Wait <sup>1</sup> D D D D D D V 48.0 D D D D D	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435 2.184 1.867	Running Percent           37.644           68.484           73.553           77.847           81.395           83.899           86.334           88.518           90.385
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 157139.3 106169.5 118627.4 102355.0	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98 28 65 54	Av. 4.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 32 0.0 1.0 0.0	g Resource Wait 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 48.0 0 0 0 0 0	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435 2.184 1.867 1.699	Running Percent           37.644           68.484           73.553           77.847           81.395           83.899           86.334           88.518           90.385           92.084
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 157139.3 106169.5 118627.4 102355.0 5427.937	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98 28 65 54	Avv 4.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	g Resource Wait 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 48.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435 2.184 1.867 1.699 1.387	Running Percent 37.644 68.484 73.553 77.847 81.395 83.899 86.334 88.518 90.385 92.084 93.472
	Avg Signal Wait Ti 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0	me	Resource 2282708. 1767030. 439.785 148661.2 225886.2 159596.2 159596.2 157139.3 106169.5 118627.4 102355.0 5427.937 69201.12	Wait Time 207 923 73 70 95 98 28 65 54 54 1	Avv 4.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	g Resource Wait 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 48.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Time	Percent 37.644 30.840 5.069 4.294 3.548 2.504 2.435 2.184 1.867 1.699 1.387 1.074	Running Percent           37.644           68.484           73.553           77.847           81.395           83.899           86.334           88.518           90.385           92.084           93.472           94.546

### Wait Statistics 101

sys.dm\_os\_wait\_stats view – historical information (server)
sys.dm\_exec\_session\_wait\_stats view (2016+) - session

- wait\_type: type of the wait
- waiting\_task\_count: number of waits
- wait\_time\_ms: cumulative wait time
- **signal\_wait\_time**: wait in *runnable* state

#### DBCC SQLPERF('sys.dm\_os\_wait\_stats', CLEAR)

• Make sure stats is representative

### Wait Statistics 101

#### sys.dm\_os\_waiting\_tasks view – what is happening now

- wait\_type: type of the wait
- wait\_duration\_ms: current wait time
- **resource\_description**: resource information
- **blocking\_session\_id**: blocker, when applicable

#### sys.dm\_exec\_requests view - request information

- **status**: running, runnable, suspended, etc
- wait\_type, wait\_time, wait\_resource, blocking\_session\_id: wait information

# **Never-Ending Troubleshooting**





# Poorly Optimized Queries



# **Key Metrics**

#### PAGELATCHIO\* waits

- Waits for data page reads
- Physical <u>data</u> I/O only

#### Page Life Expectancy

- How long data page stays cached in buffer pool
- Rule of thumb: 300 \* 4GB of SQL Server memory

#### CXPACKET in OLTP

• Complex plans -> Parallelism (more later)

19 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# Disk Throughput (sys.dm\_io\_virtual\_file\_stats)

	DB	ID	File	e Id	File Name		File Path	Туре		Time		Reads		Read Bytes		
1	5		1		CTCloud_Prim	ary_file	F:\Databas	. ROWS	ROWS 208		33	28640		634617856		
2	5		2		CTCloud_Log_	file I	F:\Databas LC			208212513	33	5786691		48567555333	312	
3	5		3		CTCloud_Entit	ies_file1	F:\Databas	. ROWS	5	2082125133		3836810		21304553473	20	
4	5		4		CTCloud_Entit	ies_file2	F:\Databas	. ROWS	5	208212513	33	3606346		16476407398	84	
5	5		5.		CTCloud, Eptition, file3		F:\Deteber.	DOWS	8	2082125183		3707361		1916050016	nn	
	Writes	3		Writt	ten Bytes	IO Count	Read %	Write %	Re	ead Stall	Wr	ite Stall	A١	/g Read St	Avg V	Vrite Stall
	57774	438		744	20396032	5806078	0.85	99.15	23	34236	20	120804	8.	179	3.483	3
	25500	0779	93	117	00852344320	260794484	29.33	70.67	18	3821891	51	1247441	З.	253	2.005	5
	65903	3077	7	792	104493056	69739887	21.20	78.80	25	5738654	30	7697614	6.	708	4.669	3
	45587	7023	}	547	723214848	49193369	23.13	76.87	24	4074753	10	0938420	6.	676	2.214	1
	89880	1283	2	100	3102298112	93587844	11.61	88 39	10	9261189	14	4064060	5	195	1 603	2

#### Look at overall latency

- Targets for t-log write stalls < 3ms
- Targets for read stalls < 5-10ms
- Targets for write stalls < 3-5ms

Look at throughput (especially in tempdb)

# **Detecting Poorly Optimized Queries**

#### Query Store (SS 2016+)

- OK to enable in majority of the systems
  - Careful with heavy ad-hoc workload -> Do not enable "Capture All"
- Monitor QDS\* waits
- Be on the recent SP

#### Plan Cache-based execution statistics

- sys.dm\_exec\_query\_stats
- sys.dm\_exec\_procedure\_stats
- sys.dm\_exec\_function\_stats (SS2016+)

#### xEvents

• Introduce overhead but may be acceptable for quick profiling

## sys.dm\_exec\_query\_stats

	SELECT TOP 250 SUBSTRING(qt.T ((	EXT, (qs.s	tatement_s	tart_offset/2)+1,			
	SQL	Exec	Avg IO	query_plan	Total Reads	Total Writes	Total CPU
1	select Subj, cast(R	1	6816382	< <u>ShowPlanXM</u>	6816296	86	24297389
2	select UID, DOCTY	26455	4143503	<u>ShowPlanXM</u>	109616393555	0	154369131409
3	DELETE TOP (@d	1	4096631	< <u>ShowPlanXM</u>	4096468	163	26538518
4	insert into #tmpRep	62	3690210	NULL	228750206	42859	3351099613
5	update #tmpReportI	62	3139967	NULL	194677952	7	2406888686
6	insert into #tmpRep	58	2516483	NULL	145905711	50341	1761652781
7	select D.*, O.CATE	16	1848720	< <u>ShowPlanXM</u>	29579527	0	64629691
8	update #tmpReport	13	1520333	<u>ShowPlanXM</u>	19764334	5	194722131
9	select D.*, I.Catego	36	1511917	<u>ShowPlanXM</u>	54429042	0	114735561
10	update #tmpReport	26	1459946	<u>ShowPlanXM</u>	37958482	138	447010567
11	update #tmpReport	12	1426777	< <u>ShowPlanXM</u>	17121325	4	164099386
12	insert into #tmpRep	53	1079374	NULL	57198359	8467	865721533

OUTER APPLY sys.dm\_exec\_query\_plan(qs.plan\_handle) qp

ORDER BY

Works based on plan cache [Avg IO] desc option (recompile)

Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

22

# **Poorly Optimized Queries**

Туре	Metric	Description
Wait Types	PAGEIOLATCH_*	Disk to memory transfer (data pages)
	Buffer Cache Hit Ratio	How often page is found in the buffer pool - do not use
	Page Life Expectancy	How long page stays in the cache — watch the trends. As the starting point should be > (Buffer Pool Size / 4GB) * 300
Performance Objects	Checkpoint Pages/Sec Lazy Writes/Sec	How often pages are saved to disk Memory Pressure: High values + low Page Life Expectancy
	Page Reads/Sec	Number of physical page reads per sec – watch the trends
	Avg Disk Bytes/Transfers	Disk performance counters

# **Poorly Optimized Queries**

Туре	Metric	Description			
	sys.dm_exec_query_stats	Query execution statistics			
DMV	sys.dm_io_virtual_file_stats	I/O statistics on per-file basis			
	sys.dm_os_memory_clerks DBCC MEMORYSTATUS	Memory consumers			
xEvents	rpc_completed, sql_statement_completed	Filter by I/O, CPU or Duration metrics. Be careful on the busy system			
Query Store		SQL Server 2016+, Azure SQL DB			

# **Optimization Approach**

#### Analyze SQL Query

• Check SARGability, functions, data type conversion, etc

#### Check Actual vs. Estimated # of rows

- Outdated statistics?
- Check join types

#### Analyze efficiency of Index Seeks

• # of rows read; actual # of rows

#### Address large scans and Key Lookups

25 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# Other I/O Waits

#### IO\_COMPLETION

- Often tempdb performance
- Check tempdb spills

#### ASYNC\_IO\_COMPLETION

- Usually Data Backup related
- Check performance of backup drive and process throughput
- Often comes with BACKUPIO, BACKUPTHREAD waits



# Parallelism

Tuned OLTP queries rarely benefit from parallelism

Parallelism is required for DW workload

Consider to increase "Cost Threshold for Parallelism" rather than changing MAXDOP

• You can see plan cost at the top element of the execution plan

**Monitor statistics** 

28 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# When Everything is Cached..

Non-optimized systems with DB cached in buffer pool

- Low PAGEIOLATCH\_\* waits and high *Page Life Expectancy*
- Often IO\_COMPLETION waits and heavy tempdb usage/latency
- High CXPACKET waits unless MAXDOP = 1
- Often high CPU usage and signal time
- Sometimes blocking

Look at queries I/O metrics in plan cache / Query Store

"Do nothing" is often the best solution..

• Monitor that *hot* data fits into the memory



# High CPU

# **Possible Causes**

Current hardware / workload trends

#### Inefficient queries

• General performance tuning usually helps

#### Bad T-SQL code

• Functions, cursors, XML, data type conversions, etc

#### Recompilations

• Especially with heavy ad-hoc workload

### Metrics

#### SQL Server vs App CPU

- sys.dm\_os\_ring\_buffers (script is included)
- Process: % Priveleged Time (kernel mode); % User Time (user mode)

#### Wait statistics: signal\_wait\_time\_ms

- SOS\_SCHEDULER\_YIELD wait type
- signal\_wait\_time\_ms <15% of wait\_time\_ms in OLTP

Check for "Observer Effect", audit, etc

### Schedulers and NUMAs

Evenly divide schedules across NUMA nodes

• Especially with AlwaysOn Availability Groups in busy OLTP systems

```
select
```

```
parent_node_id
,scheduler id
```

```
,status
```

```
,current_tasks_count
,runnable tasks count
```

```
from
```

```
sys.dm_os_schedulers
where
    status = 'VISIBLE ONLINE'
order by
```

```
scheduler_id
```

	parent_node_id	scheduler_id	status	current_tasks_count	runnable_tasks_count
1	0	0	VISIBLE ONLINE	7	0
2	0	1	VISIBLE ONLINE	6	0
3	0	2	VISIBLE ONLINE	4	0
4	0	3	VISIBLE ONLINE	6	0
5	0	4	VISIBLE ONLINE	4	0
6	0	5	VISIBLE ONLINE	4	1
7	0	6	VISIBLE ONLINE	6	0
8	0	7	VISIBLE ONLINE	7	0
9	1	8	VISIBLE ONLINE	19	0
10	1	9	VISIBLE ONLINE	21	0

33 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# Metrics

#### Queries

- Execution statistics (plan cache and/or query store)
- Currently executed: sys.dm\_exec\_requests.cpu\_time
- Patterns:
  - One bad query
  - Death by thousand cuts
  - Parameter sniffing-related issues

#### Recompilations

- In OLTP systems:
  - Initial Compilations = SQL Compilations/Sec SQL Re-Compilations/Sec
  - Plan Reuse = (Batch Requests/Sec Initial Compilations) / Batch Requests/Sec > 90% -95%

# **Addressing Recompilations**

Parameterize the code!

#### Consider FORCED PARAMETERIZATION

- Beware of Parameter Sniffing-related issues
- Consider OPTIMIZE FOR UNKNOWN
  - SQL Server 2016 Database Scoped Configuration
  - SQL Server prior 2016 TF 4136

#### Cautionary Tale of Recompilation, Plan Caching and High CPU Usage - demos

• Available at: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/presentations</u>

### **Memory Grants**

Queries need memory to execute

Some memory to execute + memory for SORT and HASH + parallelism

**Optimize Queries;** 

**Check Statistics** 

- By default query can use 25% of workspace memory (~25% of 75%)
  - Can be changed in Resource Governor

SQL Server uses 2 semaphores for memory grant allocations

• Queries will wait until memory is available

#### Metrics

- **RESOUCE\_SEMAPHORE** wait
- SQL Server: Memory Manager \Memory Grants Pending > 0
- sys.dm\_exec\_query\_stats memory grant-related columns
- Query Store

36 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# **Other Waits**

#### RESOURCE\_SEMAPHORE\_COMPILE

- Wait for memory grant during compilations
- Causes:
  - Excessive compilations
  - I saw issues with <u>async</u> statistics update during online index rebuild on large tables in busy OLTP systems

#### CMEMTHREAD

- Usually related to plan cache management / memory allocation
- Often happens with old versions of SQL Server on modern hardware
  - T8048 may help <SS 2016
- Reduce excessive compilations

# ASYNC\_NETWORK\_IO

Server waits for client to consume data

Possible causes

- Network performance
- Excessive amount of data to send (select \*)
- Application issues

### ASYNC\_NETWORK\_IO - Bad

```
using (SqlDataReader reader = cmd.ExecuteReader())
{
    while (reader.Read())
    {
        ProcessOrder((IDataRecord)reader);
    }
}
```

### ASYNC\_NETWORK\_IO - Good

```
List<Orders> list = new List<Orders>();
using (SqlDataReader reader = cmd.ExecuteReader())
{
    while (reader.Read())
    {
        list.Add(ReadOrder((IDataRecord)reader));
    }
}
ProcessAllOrders(list);
```

# Locking & Blocking

Locks acquired on resources (rows, pages, tables, db, etc)

#### There are many lock types

- Exclusive (X) acquired when data is modified and held till end of tran
- Update (U) update scans
- Shared (S) acquired by readers (SELECT) in some isolation levels
- Intent (IS, IU, IX) indicate locks on child objects
- Schema Modification (Sch-M) exclusive access to the object during alteration
- Schema Stability (Sch-S) protects object from alteration if there is no I\* locks

#### Lock Escalation

• SQL Server may replace row-level with table-level locks during batch operations

# Locking Compatibility

	IS	IU	IX	S	U	X	Sch-S	Sch-M
IS								
IU								
IX								
S								
U								
Х								
Sch-S								
Sch-M								

Majority of blocking issues are due to non-optimized queries

42 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# Wait Types

Metric	Possible Causes
LCK_M_SCH_*	Index and/or partition maintenance, frequent schema modifications (app issues)
LCK_M_I*	Lock escalation, schema modifications (see LCK_M_SCH*)
LCK_M_RS*	SERIALIZABLE transactions, IGNORE_DUP_KEY option in NCI
LCK_M_U	Nonoptimized DML queries. Perform query tuning. Analyze transaction management
LCK_M_S	Nonoptimized SELECT queries. Perform query tuning. Consider RCSI to hide the problem.

# Locking & Blocking – Additional Info

#### Additional resources from my blog

- Deep dive into Blocking and Deadlock troubleshooting presentation
  - Slides and demos are available at: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/presentations/</u>
- Locking and Blocking posts: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/lockingblocking/</u>
- Blocking Monitoring Framework: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/bmframework/</u>

#### Expert SQL Server Transactions and Locking book

Available from Amazon.com and Torrent <sup>(2)</sup>





# WRITELOG and LOGBUFFER waits

#### Analyze

- Log drive throughput (sys.dm\_io\_virtual\_file\_stats)
- Log generation rate
- Code (autocommitted transaction)

#### Possible solutions

- Upgrade I/O subsystem
- Reduce t-log activity
- Consider delayed durability (possible data loss)
- Reduce data I/O if everything is on the same drive





# HADR\_SYNC\_COMMIT & AG Issues

Five Availability Group Issues That May Ruin Your Day

• Slides and demos are available at: <u>https://aboutsqlserver.com/presentations</u>

### Latches



# Latches





# Latches

Protects internal data structures

Wait statistics exposes 3 major latch types

- PAGEIOLATCH\_\* data pages-related I/O
- PAGELATCH\_\* access to data pages in memory
- LATCH\_\* general latch

#### PAGELATCH troubleshooting

- Capture resource with *sys.dm\_os\_waiting\_tasks* and/or xEvents
- DBID=2: (2,1,1), (2,1,3) tempdb allocations (T1118 + add files)
- User databases: Most likely hot spots. Find *object\_id* (DBCC PAGE) and add data files and/or change the schema.

# sys.dm\_db\_index\_operational\_stats

#### Access methods, I/O, locking, latching activity

- Insert, Update, Delete counts (# of rows)
- singleton\_lookup\_count: Single-row Index Seek operations
- range\_scan: Index Seeks on the range of rows + Index Scans
- LOB and ROW\_OVERFLOW statistics
- Lock counts and waits on row- and page-levels
- Page latch count and waits
- Page IO latch count and waits
- And more..

		index_id	Table	Index	range_scan_count	singleton_lookup_count	row_lock_wait_in_ms	page_latch_wait_in_ms	page_io_latch_wait_in_ms
	1	1			1411162	3638897399	0	71286	13634302
	2	2			774	0	0	338	283589
	3	3			760095	0	0	121	329284
	4	4			32726	0	0	828	7878183
	5	5			358	0	0	66	3138358
	6	6		21	21	0	0	124	138602
	7	8		-	0	0	0	519	68238
	8	33			1	0	0	60	574234
	9	34			11012	0	0	490	626016
Dmitri Korotkevit	10.	35			n	n	n	126	23540

# Latches (sys.dm\_os\_latch\_stats)

	Latch Type	Wait Count	Wait Time	Avg Wait Time	Percent	Running Percent
1	ACCESS_METHODS_DATASET_PARENT	25546519	51728.454	2.0	92.530	92.530
2	LOG_MANAGER	938	2805.912	2991.0	5.019	97.550

Parallelism: ACCESS\_METHOD\_DATASET\_PARENT, ACCESS\_METHOD\_SCAN\_\*, NESTING\_TRANSACTION\_FULL

LOG\_MANAGER: Usually transaction log is growing. Check why it is not truncating.

FGCB\_ADD\_REMOVE: Growing, shrinking files in the filegroup. Check *Instant File Initialization* and *Auto Shrink* option.

ACCESS\_METHOD\_HOBT\_VIRTUAL\_ROOT: Can be large amount of page splits.

ACCESS\_METHOD\_HOBT\_COUNT: Heavy concurrent data modifications

TRACE\_CONTROLLER: Excessive amount of traces

54 Dmitri Korotkevitch (http://aboutsqlserver.com)

# **THREADPOOL** Waits

Workers starvation – SQL Server cannot assign workers to the new requests

Sign of serious issues – even in small %

Possible causes

- Memory Pressure / Low amount of memory in OS (especially in VMs)
- Long blocking chains
- Very large # of connections (bad connection management)

# THREADPOOL Waits (sys.dm\_os\_waiting\_tasks)

	session_id	wait_type	wait_duration	blocking_session	resource_description
466	NULL	THREADPOOL	52907	NULL	threadpool id=scheduler2f92a0040
467	NULL	THREADPOOL	52906	NULL	threadpool id=scheduler2f92a0040
468	NULL	THREADPOOL	27866	NULL	threadpool id=scheduler2f92a0040
471	52	LCK_M_IS	58301	57	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
472	54	LCK_M_IS	58293	57	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
473	55	LCK_M_IS	58293	57	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
474	56	LCK_M_IS	58294	57	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
475	57	-Lak-M-13	50302	51	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
476	58	LCK_M_IS	58293	57	objectlock lockPartition=0 objid=2
			F QF		

#### Use Dedicated Admin Connection to Troubleshoot

# **Additional Resources**

Whitepapers:

- Original: <u>https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc966413.aspx</u>
- SQLSkills: <u>https://www.sqlskills.com/help/sql-server-performance-tuning-using-wait-statistics/</u>

SQLSkills Wait Types Library: <a href="https://www.sqlskills.com/help/waits/">https://www.sqlskills.com/help/waits/</a>

### **Additional Resources**



Email me anytime: <u>dk@aboutsqlserver.com</u>

Slides and Demos: <u>http://aboutsqlserver.com/presentations</u>

Video:

Youtube Channel: aboutsqlserver

# Thank You